

DATE: 2 March 1953

A/B, IV, 1, 14

TO: FILE

FROM: [REDACTED] - A

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] - D

1. On 2 March 1953, [REDACTED] told the writer (later joined by [REDACTED]) interviewed [REDACTED] in connection with the [REDACTED] case. The reason for this visit was that the [REDACTED] people intend possibly to use [REDACTED] in an operation in [REDACTED] and wish to find out whether or not [REDACTED].

1. He had too much knowledge from a medical point of view (anatomy, diagnosis, techniques, drugs, etc.) for our previous ANTICOMM work and [REDACTED].
2. From a security point of view, could he give too much information to the [REDACTED] or could he directly to them.

2. [REDACTED] expressed the professional opinion insofar as the ANTICOMM work in June 1952 was concerned that [REDACTED] would not have any valuable knowledge of the ANTICOMM techniques. He stated that in his opinion [REDACTED] believed he was given a medical treatment and that questions asked of him were of a medical nature and not pure interrogation.

3. [REDACTED] Further stated that he felt that in consideration part [REDACTED] would have total courage for such that went on during June when ANTICOMM treatment was applied. He also stated he would have no knowledge of the chemicals, techniques, personalities, etc. that would be of value to the [REDACTED] if he could act. In connection with the trip in August 1952 [REDACTED] stated he believed [REDACTED] could know that he had been subjected to drugs since his behavior was not normal and [REDACTED] himself in an intelligent, clear, hard-core agent [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] stated it would not make any difference any way since [REDACTED] had not asked pertinent or intelligence-type questions and [REDACTED] certainly would have no knowledge of chemicals (even those they were put in coffee, beer, and in his food).

4. [REDACTED] stated he felt, from a medical point of view, there would be no real harm in using [REDACTED] in another operation and that if [REDACTED] sold out to the [REDACTED] he could give them no truly valuable information and if he did give them information, the information would be of no information besides their [REDACTED] could give no factual details.

